

Detailed Issue Pro Forma

Issue title	
Issue identification date	
Primary policing plan pillar to which issue	
relates	
Issue owner	
Business area where issue will be managed	
Issue monitoring and reviewing board	
-	

Issue score history (for Governance team completion only)		
Date	Impact score	Issue level
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		

Initial Issue Record and Details

Issue identification and description

Please give a high level description of the issue which should set out clearly the cause and	
unfavourable consequence should it occur.	

Please set out the primary / main categorisation of the issue e.g. strategic, operational, financial, reputational (please refer to annex A).

Choose an item.

Issue assessment and analysis

Issue level	
Impact Score	Issue level (very high, high, medium, low, very low)

Issue analysis This should set out a detailed analysis of impact including confidence levels (validity of the evidence), complexity and connectivity to other areas of business, time related factors, and effectiveness of existing internal controls.

Risk appetite	oroposal	
Classification	Tolerable risk level	Description
Averse	Very low	Avoidance of risk and uncertainty in achievement of key deliverables or initiatives is key objective. Activities undertaken will only be those considered to carry virtually no inherent risk
Minimal	Low	Preference for very safe business delivery options that have a low degree of inherent risk with the potential for benefit/return not a key driver. Activities will only be undertaken where they have a low degree of inherent risk.
Cautious	Medium	Preference for safe options that have low degree of inherent risk and only limited potential for benefit. Willing to tolerate a degree of risk in selecting which activities to undertake to achieve key deliverables or initiatives, where we have identified scope to achieve significant benefit and/or realise an opportunity. Activities undertaken may carry a high degree of inherent risk that is deemed controllable to a large extent.
Open	High	Willing to consider all options and choose one most likely to result in successful delivery while providing an acceptable level of benefit. Seek to achieve a balance between a high likelihood of successful delivery and a high degree of benefit and value for money. Activities themselves may potentially carry, or contribute to, a high degree of residual risk.
Eager	Very high	Eager to be innovative and to choose options based on maximising opportunities and potential higher benefit even if those activities carry a very high residual risk

Appetite proposal	Choose an item.	Does the issue score	Choose an item.
		exceed appetite?	

Issue	Treatment			
	ed treatment approach	Choo	ose an item.	
	ice, Tolerate, Terminate, Transfer)			
Ration	nale for selected treatment approach			
Propo	sed high level actions and updates			
Date	Action	Owner	Update	Delivery
set				Date
			_	
Additi	onal resources required – (e.g. People,	Assets, Fir	ance)	
Key p	erformance measures / control indicato	rs		
	·			
Issue	Monitoring and Reporting			
	rance functions			
1 st lin	e: Business area controls (Day to da	av controls	s, processes and activities conducted by t	he
	ion that own and manage the issue)	=	,,,,	
2 nd lii	ne: Internal governance (Monitoring	and repoi	ting boards and functions that oversee o	r
speci	alise in risk management compliance	e e.g. aud	it and scrutiny, governance team, change	e team)
3 rd lir	ne: Independent assurance (Function	ns that un	dertake internal and external audit, inspe	ection,
peer	review)			
Intorna	ol governance log - for admin completion only. M	lonitoring and	d reporting board key notes / actions set **including n	ovt dotailed
	report date	ionitoring and	a reporting board key notes / actions set including in	ext detailed
Interna	al governance log - for RISK Manager / Gov Team	completion	only: Central review and recommendation notes	

Detailed Review Report

Issue re-assessment and review

**Please update / add to issue treatment details / actions above as appropriate following each detailed review

Date reassessed	
monitoring board to assess whether decisions are being	a available (narrative and graphics) to support the reporting and graphics of made within risk appetite to successfully achieve objectives. To of travel/trends to give an overall assessments of the
A report or ppt appendix may be used and attached if	preferred
Review 1 reassessment: Resultant issue level	
Impact Score	Issue level (very high, high, medium, low, very low)
	ring and reporting board key notes / actions set **including next detailed
review report date	

Internal governance log - for Risk Manager / Gov Team completion only: Central review and recommendation notes

Detailed Review Report 2

Issue re- assessment and review

**Please update / add to issue treatment details / actions above as appropriate following each detailed review

Date reassessed		
Issue reassessment summary		
This should consider all relevant assurance information available (narrative and graphics) to support the reporting and		
monitoring board to assess whether decisions are being	g made within risk appetite to successfully achieve objectives.	
	n of travel/trends to give an overall assessments of the	
effectiveness of treatment actions.		
A report or ppt appendix may be used and attached if	preferred	
Review 1 reassessment: Resultant issue level		
Inome of Cooks	Issue level	
Impact Score	(very high, high, medium, low, very low)	
Internal governance log - for admin completion only: Monito	ring and reporting board key notes / actions set **including next detailed	
review report date		
Internal governance log - for Risk Manager / Gov Team com	pletion only: Central review and recommendation notes	